

Score					
Abstract	1	2	3	4	5
Clarity and Tone	Lacks clarity and scientific tone	Somewhat clear, tone not entirely scientific	Moderately clear with a somewhat scientific tone	Clear and scientific tone evident	Highly clear with precise scientific tone
Originality	Lacks originality and innovation	Demonstrates limited originality	Shows some originality and creativity	Fairly original and innovative	Significantly original and innovative
Presentation of Results	Results poorly presented, lack clarity	Some presentation, but lacks detail or clarity	Presents results with moderate clarity	Clear presentation of results	Highly detailed and precise presentation
Abstract Writing Quality	Numerous mistakes and lacks clarity	Some mistakes present, lacks clarity in parts	Moderately well-written with minor mistakes	Well-written, few mistakes, clear overview	Excellent written, no mistakes, highly clear
Letter of motivation					
Clarity of Purpose	Purpose lacks clarity, poorly expressed	Some clarity, but purpose could be clearer	Provides a moderately clear purpose	Clear and well-expressed purpose	Highly clear and precisely expressed purpose
Relevance of Goals	Goals irrelevant, not related to grant	Some relevance, goals could be more aligned with the grant	Demonstrates moderate relevance of goals to the grant	Goals clearly relevant and aligned with grant	Highly relevant goals closely aligned with grant
Demonstration of Need	Fails to demonstrate need for grant	Some demonstration of need, lacks justification for financial support	Moderately demonstrates need for the grant; some justification for financial support	Clearly demonstrates need for the grant and justifies financial support	Highly compelling demonstration of need for the grant and thorough justification for financial support
Curriculum vitae					
Academic Achievements/ Research Experience (relative to opportunity)	CV lacks significant academic achievements or research experience	Some academic achievements or research experience included, but limited	Provides moderate academic achievements or research experience, but could be more detailed	Clear and relevant academic achievements or research experience included, well-documented	Highly detailed, relevant, and well-documented academic achievements or research experience
Presentation and Format	CV is poorly formatted and lacks professionalism	Some formatting issues present, lacks professionalism	Moderately formatted with minor professionalism issues	Well-formatted with few professionalism issues	Excellent formatted, professional appearance
Total score (out of 45)					